





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,657	12/19/2000	Andrew T. Yule	РНВ 34,435	7071
24737	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			MILLER, BRANDON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCEIL	I WIANOR, IVI 10310		2683	/2
		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/741,657	YULE, ANDREW T.			
* Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
•'	Brandon J Miller	2683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		1			
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	N FOR ALLOWANCE. Ition. A proper reply to a places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	,			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No.(s) 16					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding claims envin teaches recognizing entry of the mobile unitarity a target area from an adjacent location (see col. 1, lines 52-59 and col. 4, lines 7-8 & 19-25), this relates to applicant's claimed definition of " recognizing entry of the mobile unit into the single predetermined service area from an adjacent service area". Applying the broadest possible interpretation, the target area in Irvin could be within a service area (cell) and therefore included in applicant's claimed "single predetermined service area". Regarding claim 6 Irvin teaches enabling the user to define a predetermined target location (see col. 1, lines 48-51), this relates to applicant's claimed definition of "enabling the user to instruct the control means to define the current service area as the predetermined service area. The location used in Irvin to define the predetermined target area could be within a current service area (cell) and therefore included in applicant's "current service area" used to defined the predetermined service area.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600